

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

пс22:	COMMISSIONER FOR FAIENIS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	UNION HERIO DOV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,055	06/06/2001	Yoko Iwamiya	208853US0	5631	
22850 7590 04/28/2006			EXAMINER		
OBLON, SP 1940 DUKE S	IVAK, MCCLELLAN STREET	METZMAIER, DANIEL S			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
		DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/874,055	IWAMIYA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,7,8,11,13 and 14</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of fi	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	Wall-				
		Danuty.	uxmas				
		Daniel S. Metzmaie Primary Examiner	r O				

Art Unit: 1712

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment raises new issues requiring further search and/or consideration based on the amendment and the alternative grouping modified by the phrase: "may be", which does not require and/or positively claim the species of the alternative grouping. Furthermore, the new claims have not been previously presented. Applicants further do not provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why said amendments are necessary and why they were not presented earlier (particular attention to the new claims). It is further noted that the alternative grouping and the use of open language, "comprising", was rejected as indefinite in the non-final rejection and applicants had an opportunity to amend said issue prior to the finallity of the action.